

REMARKS

The examiner rejected Claim 63 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically it is not clear what is further comprising.

Applicant has amended claim 63 and claim 70 to more particularly point out the subject matter of the invention.

The examiner rejected Claims 63-68 and 70-75 stand rejected 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lyle et al (US 6,971,028) hereinafter referred to as Lyle.

The examiner rejected Claims 1-21, 50-62, 69, and 76-77 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyle further in view of Hsu et al (US 6,098,157) hereinafter referred to as Hsu.


These claims are allowable for the reasons discussed in conjunction with the accompanying Appeal Brief.

This Reply is accompanied by a new Notice of Appeal, for which no fee is believed due.

Please charge the Petition for Extension of Time fee of \$225 to Deposit Account No. 06-1050. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/6/06



Denis G. Maloney
Reg. No. 29,670

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (617) 542-8906